

PATENT
67174929-001101**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS****Oath/Declaration Objections:**

Paragraph 1 of the Action objects to the declaration because it does not identify the citizenship of the first inventor, Cortina. A new declaration has been submitted with this response that identifies the citizenship of the first inventor. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the objection to the declaration.

Drawing Objections:

Paragraph 2 of the Action objects to the drawings under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a). The Action states that the claimed limitation of a "radio frequency antenna embedded on a chip" must be shown or the feature(s) cancelled. Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 20, 22, 24, 26, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33 and 34, which are all the claims that include the limitation of a "radio frequency antenna embedded on a chip" have been cancelled in the above amendments thereby rendering the objection moot as to these claims. Applicant, therefore, respectfully requests withdrawal of the objection to the drawings.

Paragraph 3 of the Action objects to the drawings under 37 C.F.R. § 1.75(d)(1) for failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. The Action states that the claimed limitation of a "radio frequency antenna embedded on a chip" is not described in the specification. Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 20, 22, 24, 26, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33 and 34, which are all the claims that include the limitation of a "radio frequency antenna embedded on a chip" have been cancelled in the above amendments thereby rendering the objection moot as to

PATENT
67174929-001101

these claims. Applicant, therefore, respectfully requests withdrawal of the objection to the drawings.

Applicant has cancelled claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 20, 22, 24, 26, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33 and 34 without prejudice and expressly reserves the right to pursue any patentable subject matter in claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 20, 22, 24, 26, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33 and 34 at a later time.

Claim Rejections Under § 103:

Paragraph 4 of the Action rejects claims 1, 3, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 20, 22, 24, 26, 49 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious in view of Diamond (U.S. Patent 6,698,653) in further view of Karasawa (EP 0977145). Claims 1, 3, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 20, 22, 24, 26, 49 and 51 have been cancelled in the above amendments thereby rendering the rejection moot as to these claims. Applicant, therefore, respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection as to claims 1, 3, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 20, 22, 24, 26, 49 and 51; however, Applicant has cancelled claims 1, 3, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 20, 22, 24, 26, 49 and 51 without prejudice and Applicant expressly reserves the right to pursue any patentable subject matter in claims 1, 3, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 20, 22, 24, 26, 49 and 51 at a later time.

Paragraph 5 of the Action rejects claims 5, 6, 29-32 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious in view of Diamond and Karasawa in further view of Mish (U.S. Patent 6,025,784). Claims 5, 6, 29-32 and 34 have been cancelled in the above amendments thereby rendering the rejection moot as to these claims. Applicant, therefore, respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection as to claims 5, 6, 29-32 and 34; however, Applicant has cancelled

PATENT
67174929-001101

claims 5, 6, 29-32 and 34 without prejudice and Applicant expressly reserves the right to pursue any patentable subject matter in claims 15, 6, 29-32 and 34 at a later time.

Paragraph 6 of the Action rejects claims 33 and 35-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious in view of Diamond and Karasawa in further view of Look (U.S. Patent 6,894,615). Claims 33 and 35-48 have been cancelled in the above amendments thereby rendering the rejection moot as to these claims. Applicant, therefore, respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection as to claims 33 and 35-48; however, Applicant has cancelled claims 33 and 35-48 without prejudice and Applicant expressly reserves the right to pursue any patentable subject matter in claims 33 and 35-48 at a later time.

Paragraph 7 of the Action rejects claims 50 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious in view of Diamond and Karasawa in further view of Janssen (U.S. Patent 6,427,504). Claims 50 and 52 have been cancelled in the above amendments thereby rendering the rejection moot as to these claims. Applicant, therefore, respectfully requests withdrawal of the rejection as to claims 50 and 52; however, Applicant has cancelled claims 50 and 52 without prejudice and Applicant expressly reserves the right to pursue any patentable subject matter in claims 50 and 52 at a later time.

Allowable Subject Matter:

The Applicant acknowledges that paragraph 8 of the Action indicates that claims 2, 4, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 21, 23, 25, 27 and 28 are allowable. As discussed above, all rejected claims, 1, 3, 5-7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 20, 22, 24, 26 and 29-52 have been cancelled. Pending claims 2, 4, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 21, 23, 25, 27 and 28 are allowable as stated in the Action. Applicant respectfully asserts that the application should be in condition for allowance for all of these reasons stated above.

PATENT
67174929-001101**CONCLUSION**

Based on the above amendments and remarks, Applicant believes that the claims are in condition for allowance and such is respectfully requested. Applicant believes that no additional claims fees are necessitated by this response. No other fees are deemed necessary, however, if any additional fees are due the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees required by this response to our Deposit Account No. 13-0480 (Order No. 67174929-001101).

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: 12/2/2005By: 

Noel C. Gillespie, Esq.
Reg. No. 47,596
Baker & McKenzie
2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 2300
Dallas, TX 75201
Telephone: (619) 235-7753
Facsimile (214) 978-3099